

# What Health Care Providers Need to Know

*November 6, 2020* 



## **Program Sponsor**

### Thank you to





## **Program Funders**

This program is supported by independent medical education grants from:









# **Learning Objectives**

### Section 2: Summarizing the Evidence

- Summarize the evidence from pre-clinical to clinical assessment of biosimilarity
- Review the data from non-IBD indications that led to FDA approval for biosimilars and indication extrapolation
- Discuss the biosimilar studies, to date, in IBD

# Summarizing the Evidence Section 2



## Most indications for infliximab-dyyb were extrapolated based on the biosimilarity exercise by comparing it with reference product





# Efficacy and safety of biosimilar Infliximab-dyyb (CT-P13) in CD and UC after one-year: Results from a prospective nationwide cohort



Infusion reactions 6.6% Infections 7.9%

#### Conclusion:

Infliximab-dyyb (CT-P13) biosimilar of infliximab is effective and safe in maintaining remission in UC and CD (**no comparison group in this study**)

# The efficacy and safety of Infliximab-dyyb (CT-P13) is similar to Infliximab RP (IFX): randomized controlled trial

Study design: Randomized, double-blind, trial of 220 moderate to severe CD with infliximab-dyyb or infliximab RP

*Primary Endpoint:* CLINICAL response and remission at week 6 (defined by CDAI) and week 30



No differences in FCP/CRP, adverse events, drug levels or ATIs

Phase III randomized, double-blind, controlled trial to compare biosimilar infliximab-dyyb (CT-P13) with infliximab RP (IFX) in patients with active Crohn's Disease: early efficacy and safety results



*No differences in adverse events, week 14 drug levels (max or trough)* 



## Switching of patients with inflammatory bowel disease from reference product Infliximab to biosimilar Infliximab-dyyb is effective and safe

*Study design:* Retrospective observational cohort

Aim: Evaluate efficacy and safety of switching from reference product to biosimilar in CD and UC

*Population:* 74 IBD patients (56 CD, 18 UC) on infliximab RP therapy that were switched to biosimilar

- Mean time of 3  $\pm$  2.2 yrs of orignator IFX
- 46% on concomitant azathioprine
- 72% clinical remission, 22% mild-mod active dz; 5% severe dz

*Outcomes of interest:* Disease activity and adverse events

*Results:* Comparing week 0 to week 24 after starting the biosimilar **No Differences in**:

- Calprotectin
- Infliximab levels (3.4 vs 3.8 pg/mL)
- Antibodies (9.5% vs 10%)
- Remission at week 0 72%, week 24 78%
- Infusion reactions

*Conclusion:* Switching to biosimilar IFX appears to be effective and safe

*Limitations:* No control IFX brand group for comparison; no long-term data on efficacy.

# A Phase IV Multi-Indication Prospective NOR-SWITCH Study

52-week randomized, double-blind non-inferiority study

Infliximab RP	
Inflximab-dyyb	
	Week 52

**Disease Worsening at 12 months** 

	Infliximab RP	Infliximab-dyyb
CD (n=155)	14 (21%)	23 (36.5%)
UC (n=93)	3 (9.1%)	5 (11.9%)
Primary outcome	53/202 (26.2%)	61/206 (29.6%)

## Switch: NOR-SWITCH / Open Label Extension (OLE)



⊳aga

### Switch: NOR-SWITCH / OLE Outcomes

Diagnosis	Maintenance ( <i>n</i> =190)	Switch ( <i>n</i> =173)	Risk difference (95% CI)	
Crohn's disease	13/63 (20.6%)	8/61 (13.1%)	7.9% (-5.2 to 21)	
Ulcerative colitis	6/39 (15.4%)	1/35 (2.9%)	12.4% (-0.1 to 25)	
Spondyloarthritis	3/38 (7.9%)	2/28 (7.1%)	0.6% (-12.2 to 13.5)	
Rheumatoid arthritis	9/26 (34.6%)	6/27 (22.2%)	10.5% (-13.6 to 34.6)	
Psoriatic arthritis	1/8 (12.5%)	3/9 (33.3%)	-20.8% (-59.1 to 17.6)	
Psoriasis	0/16 (0%)	0/13 (0%)	0% (-20.6,24.7)	
Overall	32/190 (16.8%)	20/173 (11.6%)	5.9% (-1.1 to 12.9)	



40

20

Favors switch

0

-40

-20

Favors

maintenance

# Immunogenicity



# Switching to biosimilar IFX does not alter outcomes, levels or immunogenicity $\rightarrow$ for patients in stable remission

Prospective study of adult CD patients in stable remission (> 30 weeks, HBI  $\leq$  4) with stable dosing of infliximab RP switched to biosimilar IFX<sup>1</sup>

- IFX level at week 0 (pre-switch):
  - mean 2.97 (2.78-3.18)
- IFX level at week 16 w/CT-P13 biosimilar:
  - mean 3.25 (3.04-3.48)
- At week 16:
  - 86% of CD patients still in remission
  - No significant differences in CRP or FC
  - 3.2% (n=2) serious adverse events
  - 1 patient developed antibodies to IFX

83 (57 CD, 24 UC, 2 IBDU) infliximab RP treated patients switched to IFX biosimilar <sup>2</sup>

• Stable dosing & interval, 104-week follow-up



Only 2 patients with antibody formation by week 104

# Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) targets for IFX and ADA (Same as originators)

	Parameter	Target Value
IFX / ADA Level	Clin + Endo Remission	≥ 5 µg/mL
	Clin not Endo Remission	≥ 10 µg/mL
	Clin + Endo Active	≥ 15-20 µg/mL
Antibodies	IFX	≤ 9 µg/mL
	ADA	<u>&lt;</u> 4 µg/mL



# Immunogenicity In Crossover Study with Infliximab RP and Biosimilar



#### Week 54

Infliximab RP - infliximab RP

infliximab RP - infliximab-dyyb

infliximab-dyyb - infliximab-dyyb

infliximab-dyyb - infliximab RP



## PK and Immunogenicity Switch from CT-P13 to Infliximab RP in CD and UC



#### UC





#### Overall

## **Transition-switching-interchangeability studies**





# Infliximab RP and Infliximab-dyyb show similar immunogenicity



)b'(

# What does the real-world evidence say about using biosimilars in IBD?

### **Considerations**

- The FDA allows for extrapolation of indication for biosimilars, and available data suggests that biosimilars to anti-TNFs will behave similarly to their reference products.
- No biosimilar in the U.S. yet has interchange-able designation, in patients with IBD.
- Safety remains uncertain with double and triple switches.
- Drug assays for reference products are expected to work similarly for biosimilars.
- Anti-drug antibodies to reference products WILL cross-react to biosimilars (and vice versa).
- Providers can feel as comfortable starting a new patient on a biosimilar as on its reference biologic.



# VOLTAIRE-CD study: Safety and efficacy of Adalimumab-adbm (BI 695501) compared with EU-approved Adalimumab RP





### **Results: Primary endpoint analysis**

Primary endpoint analysis:  $\geq$ 70-point decrease in CDAI score between baseline and week 4 (full analysis set)



Safety profiles were similar between treatment arms, with no unexpected safety signals

